

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,576	04/22/	2004	Hiroshi Nishizawa	10873.1438US01	6727	
23552	7590	09/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				YAM, STEPHEN K		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2878		

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0.65 - 4.45 - 0	10/829,576	NISHIZAWA, HIROSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen Yam	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2004 is/are: a)	igttize accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to I	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed III tills ivational Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
ood the attached detailed office detail for a feet							
Attachment(s)		10T0 110					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0404</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Datest and Todomest Office							

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakagishi et al. US 2001/0010562.

Regarding Claim 1, Nakagishi et al. teach (see Fig. 1-5) an imaging apparatus comprising a three-dimensional circuit board (1) transmitting virtually no visible light (since a CCD image sensor contains an image sensor array mounted on an opaque board), the three-dimensional circuit board comprising a cylindrical barrel portion (100 having lens assembly, since cameras use cylindrical barrels as lens assemblies) (see Fig. 5 and Paragraphs 0003 and 0025), and a bottom portion (see Fig. 5), a semiconductor imaging device (image sensor array on (1)- see extending component of (1) shown in Fig. 1) held by the three-dimensional circuit board (see Fig. 1), an optical system (lens) (see Paragraph 0003) that is held by the barrel portion and directs light to the semiconductor imaging device (see Paragraph 0003), and a flexible printed circuit (3), disposed on the three-dimensional circuit board on a side opposite to the barrel

portion (see Fig. 5), for sending a signal to and receiving a signal from the semiconductor imaging device (since the CCD is electrically connected to control circuitry through the flexible printed circuit- see Paragraph 0036), wherein a region of the flexible printed circuit facing the semiconductor imaging device has sufficient shielding characteristics (using (2)) in a range sensitive to light reception by the semiconductor imaging device (since (2) is metal (see Paragraph 0029), it is opaque to light).

Page 3

Regarding Claim 2, Nakagishi et al. teach the semiconductor imaging device having a small thickness (see Fig. 1 and 5). Since the thickness *obtained by grinding the back surface* does not structurally limit the semiconductor imaging device as claimed in an apparatus, the limitations of making the device through "grinding the back surface" cannot be given patentable weight in an apparatus claim.

Regarding Claim 3, Nakagishi et al. teach in the region of the flexible printed circuit facing the semiconductor imaging device, the shielding characteristics against light with a wavelength longer than a visible range is higher than that against light in the visible range (since an infrared cutting filter is provided above the flexible printed circuit- see Paragraph 0003).

Regarding Claim 5, Nakagishi et al. teach the metal foil containing aluminum as a main component (see Paragraph 0029).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2878

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagishi et al.

Regarding Claim 4, Nakagishi et al. teach the apparatus in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakagishi et al. teach a metal foil (2) attached on the region of the flexible printed circuit facing the semiconductor imaging device (see Fig. 1). Nakagishi et al. do not teach the metal foil *laminated* on the flexible printed circuit. It is well known in the art to laminate two layers of material, to permanently attach them for increased rigidity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to laminate the metal foil with the flexible printed circuit, in the apparatus of Nakagishi et al., to increase structural rigidity and durability.

Regarding Claim 6, Nakagishi et al. teach the apparatus in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Nakagishi et al. also teach the metal foil containing aluminum as a main component (see Paragraph 0029). Nakagishi et al. do not teach the metal foil containing silver or nickel as a main component. It is well known in the art to substitute different metals in constructing a metallic component, depending on the desired strength, durability, conductivity, or other characteristics. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the metal foil containing silver or nickel as a main component, in the apparatus of Nakagishi et al., since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

# Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishikawa US Patent No. 6,654,064, teaches an imaging apparatus with a flexible printed circuit.

Hatanaka et al. US Patent No. 5,672,902, teaches an image sensor with a flexible printed circuit.

Honda et al. US Patent No. 6,476,417, teaches an imaging apparatus with a cylindrical barrel and a flexible printed circuit with a shading plate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Yam whose telephone number is (571)272-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571)272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

UUU X KAALT RAMMAXA TASTAR

SY